AORTIC STENOSIS – TRUTHS AND MYTHS

Dr Joe MacIntyre

Department of Anaesthesia, Nelson Hospital, Nelson

This talk reviews the preoperative risk stratification of patients diagnosed with aortic stenosis (AS) who are scheduled for non cardiac surgery. Understanding risk in these patients is important as it serves to guide decisions made by the clinician and the patient around the advisability of an operation.

The first study to discuss AS as a risk factor in non cardiac surgery was published in 1964.¹ A 10% mortality rate was described. In the intervening years little has changed with the 2009 updated ACC/AHA guidelines stating "severe AS poses the greatest risk for non cardiac surgery" with a "mortality risk of approximately 10%.² Studies referenced in the guidelines are shown in Table 1.

Study	Numbers	Study Type	Comment	Outcome
Goldman ³	23	Prospective case controlled	Clinical diagnosis of AS only. No stratification between asymptomatic and symptomatic patients	Goldman's Cardiac Risk Index – AS ranked 6th behind CCF, MI, PVC's, >70yrs, emergency
Raymer ⁴	55	Retrospective case controlled	Mix of moderate and severe AS	10% mortality in the AS and control group
Torsher⁵	19	Case series	16 cases symptomatic	Two deaths 1. Elective bilateral TKJR 2. Emergency laparotomy
Zahid ⁶	5149	Retrospective case controlled	Unable to grade severity of AS or stratify for symptoms	No difference in mortality. Increased risk of AMI but only for low and intermediate risk surgery

Table 1 – AS and Risk in Non Cardiac Surgery – ACC/AHA Guidelines 2009

In the same year European guidelines were produced which concluded "severe AS constitutes a well-established risk factor for perioperative MI and mortality."⁷ The only new work referenced was a paper by Kertai in 2004.⁸ The results from this paper are shown in Table 2.

Table 2 – Aortic Stenosis and Cardiac Risk Factors (Kertai)⁸

Revised Cardiac Index Score	Cardiac Events in Controls	Cardiac Events in Patients with AS
0	0%	0%
1	3%	10%
2	3%	16%
≥3	6%	29%

AS in isolation had the same outcome as controls but increasing risk with RCRI suggests the risk with AS is not only dependent on severity and symptoms but on the interaction with other known risk factors. A detailed



examination of the remaining literature reveals further conflicting evidence and no clearer risk profile. A summary of this evidence is presented in Table 3.

Table 3 – Perioperative Risk Associated with AS in Non Cardiac Surgery

Study	Numbers	Study Type	Comments	Outcome
Agarwal ⁹	634	Retrospective case controlled	In patients with severe AS the only significant single complication was AMI – 71% in this group had coronary heart disease. In those with severe AS but without AMI only 40% had coronary heart disease Other variables measured – 30 day mortality, CCF, stroke were no different to controls	Primary outcome = 30 day mortality + AMI Significant factors – • High risk surgery (OR = 7.3) • MR (OR = 9.8) • Symptomatic severe AS (OR = 2.7) • Coronary heart disease (OR = 2.7)
Calleja ¹⁰	30 with severe AS	Retrospective case controlled	Compared outcome between asymptomatic moderate and severe AS > 75yrs undergoing non cardiac surgery	No increased AMI or death in patients with severe AS
O'Keefe ¹¹	48	Retrospective case series	75% symptomatic CCF 19% angina 7% syncope	No deaths but 7 (14%) perioperative events reported
McBrien ¹²	94	Retrospective case controlled	Mortality in hip fracture patients undergoing surgery	No increased risk of death with AS Sig difference in anaesthetic
Liebwitz ¹³	32	Retrospective case controlled	Patients undergoing surgery for hip fracture	No increased risk for cardiac events or death with AS
Adunsky ¹⁴	62	Retrospective case controlled	Patients admitted to hospital with hip fracture 19% were symptomatic	AS patients had surgical and non surgical treatments 6 AS patients treated non surgically. Two of these died 56 AS patients operated on. Two died. Mortality = 3.6% Non AS operated patients

When trying to establish the risk associated with AS (or assessing the available evidence) what other factors do we need to take into account outside the evidence presented above. The natural history of AS alerts us to the fact once symptomatic patients cross into a higher risk group. It would seem sensible to translate this higher risk into the operative setting.

Mortality without AVR	
50% die within 5 years	
50% die within 3 years	
50% die within 2 years	

Even if asymptomatic some patients are at increased risk with a small percentage of patients (approx. 4%) having a significant cardiac event / death or a rapid rate of progression to the symptomatic state requiring urgent AVR.^{15,16,17} Potential predictors of outcome in this group have been identified as $-^{15,16,18,19,20} = 21,22,23,24,25,26$

- Coronary artery disease
- Functional status



- Exercise testing
- Severity of AS
- Aortic valve calcification
- Reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF)
- BNP/NT- BNP

Should patients undergo AVR, outcome is generally good with a mortality of around 2%. This applies to the "classic" AS patient with a good cardiac output and high flows across the valve producing high velocities. Some patients despite having severe stenosis determined by valve area have low gradients/velocities across the valve secondary to a low cardiac output.^{20,25,26,27,28} This group are classified as paradoxical low flow (PLF) and are mostly associated with a reduced LVEF. Stress echocardiography reveals subsets of aortic stenosis according to the effect of the dobutamine on LV contractility.^{27,28}

- Reduced LVEF but contractility reserve (CR) intact-dobutamine produces an increase in LVEF 20% and an increase in the mean aortic pressure gradient.
- Reduced LVEF no contractility reserve-dobutamine fails to produce an increase in LVEF or the mean aortic pressure gradient.
- Pseudo-severe AS dobutamine produces an improvement in LV function increasing the mean gradient but also increasing the aortic valve area to a value > 1.0cm².

Collective perioperative mortality for patients with PLF is around 15%.^{16,17} In patients with preserved LV contractility reserve this reduces to 5%. In contrast, if contractility reserve is absent perioperative mortality can be as high as 30%. PLF is also seen in patients with a preserved LVEF. These patients have impaired LV relaxation, reduced stroke volume and reduced cardiac output suggesting significant impairment in intrinsic myocardial function. This "diastolic failure group" also do worse following AVR.^{29,30}

So what to do on Monday?

- Clearly define the "type" of AS. Is it high or low flow? If low flow consider systolic function / contractility reserve / pseudo-severe AS / diastolic function.
- Further determine cardiac status with BNP / NT-BNP
- Identify significant other cardiac pathology eg MR / RVSP
- "Characterise" the risk by evaluating the clinical picture symptoms / NYHA / CCSAG / Lee Revised Cardiac Risk Index / function status / frailty.
- Adjust risk according to general risk factors for non cardiac surgery
- Add patient to AS database www.asdb.ac.nz!
- Calculate AortoScore coming soon!

Summary

It seems severe AS is likely to increase operative risk, but questions remain as to how much and in what circumstances. The natural history of AS and the outcome data following AVR would suggest variable risk in this population based on symptoms, clinical factors, detailed echocardiographic and cardiac assessments. What translates from predictors of outcome in other fields of medicine to risk in non cardiac surgery has yet to be confirmed.

References

- 1. Skinner JF, Pearce ML, Surgical Risk in the cardiac patient. Journal of Chronic Disease 1964; 17:57-72.
- 2009 ACCF/AHA Focused Update on Perioperative Beta Blockade Incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2007 Guidelines on Perioperative Cardiovascular Evaluation and Care for Non Cardiac Surgery. A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation 2009;120:e169-e276.
- 3. Goldman L, Caldera DL, Nussbaum SR, Southwick FS, Krogstad D, Murray B, Burke D, O'Malley TA, Goroll AH, Caplan CH, Nolan J, Carabello B, Slater EE. Multifactorial index of cardiac risk in non cardiac surgical procedures. New England Journal of Medicine 1977;297:845-850.



- 4. Raymer K, Yang H. Patients with aortic stenosis: cardiac complications in non cardiac surgery. Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia 1998;45:855-859.
- 5. Torsher LC, Shub C, Rettke SR, Brown DL. Risk of patients with severe aortic stenosis undergoing noncardiac surgery. American Journal of Cardiology 1998;81:448-452.
- 6. Zahid M, Sonel AF, Saba S, Good C. Perioperative risk of noncardiac surgery associated with aortic stenosis. American Journal of Cardiology 2005; 96:436-438.
- The Task Force for Preoperative Cardiac Risk Assessment and Perioperative Cardiac Managament in Non Cardiac Surgery of The European Society of Cardiology and Endorsed by the European Society of Anaesthesiology. European Heart Journal 2009;30:2796-2812.
- 8. Kertai MD, Bountioukos M, Boersma E, Bax JJ, Thompson IR, Sozzi F, Klein J, Roelandt JRTC, Poldermans D. Aortic stenosis: an underestimated risk factor for perioperative complications in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. American Journal of Medicine 2004;116:8-13.
- Agarwal S, Rajamanickam A, Navkaranbir SB, Griffin PG, Catacutan T, Svensson LG, Abdel G, Anabtawi E, Tuzcu EM, Kapadia SR. Impact of Aortic Stenosis on Post Operative Outcome after Non Cardiac Surgery. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality Outcomes 2013;6: 193-200.
- Calleja AM, Dommaraju S, Gaddam R, Cha S, Khandheria BK, Chaliki HP. Cardiac Risk in patients Aged > 75 years with Asymptomatic, Severe Aortic Stenosis Undergoing Non Cardiac Surgery. The American Journal of Cardiology 2010;105:1159-1163.
- 11. O'Keefe JH, Shub C, Rettke SR. Risk of noncardiac surgical procedures with aortic stenosis. Mayo Clinic Proceedings 1989;64:400-405.
- 12. McBrien ME, Heyburn G, Stevenson M, McDonald S, Johnston NJ, Elliott JRM, Beringer TRO. Previously undiagnosed aortic stenosis revealed by auscultation in thehip fracture population-echocardiographic findings, management and outcome. Anaesthesia 2009;64:863-870.
- 13. Leibowitz D, Rivkin G, Schiffman J, Rott D, Weiss AT, Mattan Y, Kandel L. Effect of severe aortic stenosis on the outcome in elderly patients undergoing repair of hip fracture. Gerontology 2009;55:303-306.
- 14. Adunsky A, Kaplan A, Arad M, Mizrahi EH, Gottlieb S. Aortic stenosis in elderly hip fracture patients. Archives of Gerentology and Geriatrics 2008;46:401-408.
- Pellikka PA, Sarano ME, Nishimura RA, Malouf JF, Bailey KR, Scott CG, Barnes ME, Tajik AJ. Outcome of 622 adults with asymptomatic, haemodynamically significant aortic stenosis during prolonged follow up. Circulation 2005;111:3290-3295.
- 16. Amanto MCM, Moffa PJ, Werner KE, Ramires JAF. Treatment decisions in asymptomatic aortic valve stenosis: role of exercise testing. Heart 2000;86:381-386.
- 17. Rosenhek R, Binder T, Porenta G, Lang I, Christ G, Schemper M, Maurer G, Baumgartner H. Predictors of outcome in severe asymptomatic aortic stenosis. New England Journal of Medicine 2000;343:611-617.
- 18. Das P, Rimington H, Chambers J. Exercise testing to stratify risk in aortic stenosis. European Heart Journal 2005;26:1309-1313.
- 19. Otto CM, Burwash IG, Legget ME, Munt BI, Fujioka M, Healy NL, Kraft CD, Miyake-Hull CY, Schwaegler RG. Prospective study of asymptomatic valvular aortic stenosis. Circulation 1997;95:2262-2270.
- Rosenhek R, Klaar U, Schemper M, Scholten C, Heger M, Gabriel H, Binder T, Maurer G, Baumgartner H. Mild and moderate aortic stenosis. Natural history and risk stratification by echocardiography. European Heart Journal 2004;25:199-205.
- 21. Rosenhek R, Zilberszac R, Schemper M, Czerny M, Mundigler G, Graf S, Bergler-Klein J, Grimm M, Gabriel H, Maurer G. Natural history of very severe aortic stenosis. Circulation 2010;121:151-156.
- 22. Bergler-Klein J, Klaar U, Heger M, Rosenhek R, Mundigler G, Gabriel H, Binder T, Pacher R, Maurer G, Baumgartner H. Natriuretic peptides predict symptom free survival and post operative outcome in severe aortic stenosis. Circulation 2004;109:2302-2308.
- 23. Monin JL, Lancellotti P, Monchi M, Lim P, Weiss E, Pierard L, Gueret P. Risk score for predicting outcome in patienst with asymptomatic aortic stenosis. Circulation 2009;120:69-75.
- 24. Dal-Bianco JP, Khandheria BK, Mookadam F, Gentile F, Sengupta PP. Management of asymptomatic severe aortic stenosis. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2008;52:1279-1292.
- 25. Pellika PA, Nishimura RA, Bouley KR, Tajik AT. The natural history of adults with asymptomatic haemodynamically significant aortic stenosis. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 1990;IJ 1012-1017.
- Messika-Zeiton D, Aubry MC, Detaint D, Bielak LF, Peyser PA, Sheedy PF, Turner ST, Breen JF, Scott C, Tajik AJ, Enriquez-Sarano M. Evaluation and clinical implications of aortic valve calcification measured by electron beam computed tomography. Circulation 2004;110:356-362.
- Monin JL, Quere JP, Monchi M, Petit H, Baleynaud S, Chauvel C, Pop C, Ohlmann P, Lelguen C, Dehant P, Tribouilloy C, Gueret P. Low gradient aortic stenosis. Operative risk stratification and predictors for long term outcome: a multicentre study using dobutamine stress haemodynamics. Circulation 2003;108:319-324.



- Quere JP, Monin JL, Levy F, Petit H, Baleynaud S, Chauvel C, Pop C, Ohlmann P, Lelguen C, Dehant P, Gueret P, Tribouilloy C. Influence of preoperative left ventricular contractile reserve on postoperative ejection fraction in low-gradient aortic stenosis. Circulation 2006;113:1738-1744.
- 29. Bergler-Klein J, Mundigler G, Pibarot P, Burwash IG, Dumesnil JG, Blais C, Fuchs C, Mohty D, Beanlands RS, Hachicha Z, Walter-Publig N, Rader F, Baumgartner. B-Type natriuretic peptide in low flow, low gradient aortic stenosis: relationship to haemodynamics and clinical outcome: results from a multicentre truly or pseudo-severe aortic stenosis (TOPAS) study. Circulation 2007;115: 2848-2855.
- 30. Dumesnil JG, Pibarot P, Carabello B. Paradoxical low flow and/or low flow gradient severe aortic stenosis despite preserved left ventricular ejection fraction: implications for diagnosis and treatment. European Heart Journal 2010;31:281-289.
- Hachicha Z, Dumesnil JG, Bogarty P, Pibarot P. Paradoxical low flow, low gradient severe aortic stenosis despite preserved ejection fraction is associated with higher afterload and reduced survival. Circulation 2007;115:2856-2864.